Trial	COURAGE	ISCHAEMIA	FAME	FAME2	FAME3	DEFINE-FLAIR	ORBITA	ORBITA-2
Type of study	Randomised parallel trial	Randomised parallel trial	Randomised parallel trial	Randomised parallel trial	Randomised parallel non- inferiority trial	Randomised parallel trial	Randomised control trial	Randomised control trial
Population	Patients with stable CAD with either stenosis >70% in ≥1 proximal epicardial coronary artery with objective evidence of myocardial ischaemia	Patients with stable CAD and moderate-severe myocardial ischaemia on non-invasive stress testing	Patients with multi-vessel CAD (stenosis >50%) in at least 2/3 major coronary arteries	Patients with one of more stenoses with ischaemia (FFR <0.8)	Patients with three vessel disease without left main involvement.	iFR vs FFR guided PCI in patients with stable angina or ACS	Patients with stable CAD with at least one severe coronary artery stenosis	Patients with stable CAD with at least one severe coronary stenosis on CTCA/invasive angiography with ischaemia on non-invasive imaging or invasive coronary physiological test.
Sample size	2287	5179	1005	888	1500	2492	200	301
Intervention	PCI with optimal medical therapy vs optimal medical therapy alone	Routine invasive therapy (angiogram and PCI or CABG as appropriate) versus medical therapy	Angiographically guided vs FFR guided PCI in patients with multi-vessel CAD	FFR guided PCI and OMT compared to OMT alone in patients with ischaemia (FFR <0.8)	FFR guided PCI with latest DES (lesions <0.80) compared to CABG with arterial graft	iFR vs FFR guided PCI	PCI with medical therapy vs sham placebo procedure with medical therapy	PCI compared to placebo procedure. Both groups off all anti-anginal medications.
Findings	No significant differences between groups in composite outcome of death, non-fatal MI, stroke or hospitalisation for ACS (19.5% for medical therapy vs 20% for PCI HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.87-1.27, p = 0.62)	 No significant difference in cardiovascular death, MI, resuscitated cardiac arrest or hospitalization for unstable angina or heart failure ((15.5% medical therapy vs 13.3% invasive group, p=0.34) Modest improvement in symptom benefit at 3 months amongst daily/weekly angina which persisted at 12-36 months. 	No significant difference in MACE for FFR group (13.2% vs 18.3%, p = 0.02)	Significant reduction in MACE in PCI and OMT group (4.3% in PCI group vs 12.7% in OMT, HR 0.32, 95% CI 0.19-0.53, p<0.001 which was mainly driven by reduction in urgent revascularisation (1.6% vs 11.1%, p<0.001)	Higher rates of MACE at 1 year in the FFR guided PCI arm compared to CABG (10.6% vs 6.9% with HR 1.5 and CI 1.1- 2.2 and p = 0.35) No difference in MACE at the 3 years (12% vs 9.2%, CI 0.98- 1.83, p = 0.07). Higher rates of MI and repeat revascularisation (7 vs 4.2%, p = 0.02 and 11.1% vs 5.9% p = 0.001 respectively)	iFR guided PCI non-inferior compared to FFR with regards to MACE (6.8% vs 7%, p<0.001)	No significant difference between groups in terms of treadmill exercise time. No change in peak oxygen uptake, exercise time to 1mm STD, angina severity (CCS class), physical limitation or angina frequency	PCI led to lower angina symptom score compared to placebo procedure
Limitations	 Unblinded Predominantly white (86%) men (85%). Excluded persistent class IV angina, markedly positive stress. Bare metal stents during PCIs (DES not commonplace at the time) High cross over rate >30% shifting to PCI group. 	 Unblinded 34% no angina at baseline. Excluded 'unacceptable angina at baseline' 	 Treating clinicians unblinded Patients recruited with lesions >50% angiographic stenosis not necessarily reflective of clinical practice Cut off of 0.8 rather than 0.75 leading to PCI of potentially functionally non- significant lesions 	 Short mandated follow up (7 months) Cross over rate of 41% from OMT to FFR guided PCI arm Trial stopped early after randomising 888 patients 	- 19% women, 93% white	-	 Excluded patients with multivessel disease, impaired LV. 23-25% CCS 0-1 angina, low-moderate physical limitation on Seattle angina Questionnaire Short follow up (6 weeks) Small sample size (N=200) 	- Short follow up (12 weeks), - Small sample size (301)